The Luna Settlement in Archaeological and Documentary Perspective

John E. Worth

Abstract

Since its discovery in 2015, the University of West Florida has conducted archaeological investigations at the site of Santa María de Ochuse, Tristán de Luna y Arellano’s 1559-1561 settlement on Pensacola Bay. After nearly four years of fieldwork and lab work, the site has already revealed a substantial and diverse assemblage of artifacts associated with equipment, supplies, and provisions brought both collectively by the army and its companies and subordinate residential and dining units, and by individuals as personal goods. Moreover, the discovery of features such as trash pits and postholes supplement an increasingly detailed understanding of the horizontal distribution of artifacts to provide important clues regarding the layout of the 31-acre settlement and the activities conducted there, supplementing what the documentary record tells us about this site and the people who inhabited it. This paper provides an update of our current understanding of this important mid-sixteenth-century Spanish settlement.

Paper presented in the symposium “Exploring the Settlement and Fleet of Tristán de Luna” organized by John E. Worth and John R. Bratten at the 37th Annual Gulf South History and Humanities Conference, Pensacola, Florida, October 17-19, 2019.
In August of 1559, some 1,500 soldiers and settlers disembarked from ships that had brought them from Veracruz in New Spain and began preparations for construction of a new colonial port town on Pensacola Bay. Led by a veteran of the Coronado expedition to the American Southwest, don Tristán de Luna y Arellano, this was the sixth major colonial expedition by Spain to southeastern North America since 1513 (not counting coastal explorations), and the third formal attempt to establish a permanent settlement in what was known as La Florida.\(^1\) Luna’s expedition was the largest and most well-financed to that time, and even dwarfed the subsequent colonizing expedition of Pedro Menéndez de Avilés to St. Augustine and Santa Elena just six and seven years later, respectively.\(^2\) In fact, the Luna expedition was more than twice the size of all prior and subsequent Spanish expeditions to Florida until reinforcements arrived for Menéndez in the summer of 1566. Even then, the dispersal of these troops still left Luna’s Pensacola settlement as the largest to be established by the Spanish in the eastern United States during the entire sixteenth century.

It was also, however, a failure, lasting only two years until being abandoned.\(^3\) Even though Luna’s Pensacola settlement lasted longer than both prior attempts to establish colonial towns in Florida, making it the first multi-year European settlement in the United States, the hurricane that arrived just five weeks after the Spanish made landfall destroyed most of the colony’s food and added stranded sailors to the already excessive population at the settlement. Lacking sufficient local wild resources to sustain so many mouths in one place, the immediate crisis was compounded over the next two years by slow relief expeditions from New Spain.

---
\(^2\) e.g. Lyon 1976, 1984.
growing Native hostility, and bitter disputes between Luna and his followers. In the end, just a
tenth of the original population remained after evacuations with each relief fleet. A single
company held the port for its last four months before being evacuated in August of 1561.

When the first archaeological traces of Luna’s settlement were initially identified and
tested by the University of West Florida in 2015, not only was its location fully consistent with
documentary descriptions of it being located on a high point overlooking the heart of the bay, not
far from water deep enough to anchor the colonial fleet, but it was also directly inshore from the
first two Emanuel Point wrecks, both of which belonged to Luna’s fleet. Moreover, the mid-
sixteenth-century artifact assemblage from the terrestrial settlement was essentially identical to
that recovered from both these shipwrecks, including a diverse range of Spanish ceramics and
wrought iron fasteners, but with the addition of many items that were offloaded before the
hurricane, such as brass lacing aglets and straight pins, horseshoe nails, lead shot, and glass trade
beads. What became clear over the next year of field work conducted by the UWF Archaeology
Institute, however, was that the Luna Settlement is also the single largest sixteenth-century
Spanish archaeological site in the eastern United States. After nearly a thousand shovel-tests
conducted in 2016, the distribution of the Luna artifact assemblage was found to encompass an
area of some 12.7 hectares, or 31 acres. This is more than twice the size of sixteenth-century St.
Augustine and Santa Elena on the Atlantic coast, precisely as would be expected given the
number of people Luna brought.

Four years of archaeological fieldwork at the Luna Settlement have resulted in a much
more detailed understanding of both the site and the material culture of those who inhabited it

---

6 Worth 2016a, 2016b; Bratten and Lloyd 2017; Bolte and Worth 2017, 2019.
between 1559 and 1561. Though a peer-reviewed journal article detailing the find is currently in press, data for a more comprehensive interim technical report of ongoing archaeological fieldwork is still being analyzed and compiled. The purpose of this brief paper is to provide an update and overview of our current understanding of the site based on investigations through the summer of 2019, incorporating both archaeological data as well as corresponding documentary evidence. I will focus on two aspects of the analysis: (1) the spatial distribution of occupation based on relative artifact density, (2) the evidence for structures and localized activity areas.

The Luna Settlement site was perfectly positioned to fulfill its original function both as a port and as a launching point for an overland road into the interior. It was located on high ground near sufficiently deep water for large ships, far enough inland to offer easy terrestrial access northward but still within sight of the mouth of the bay. The immense site encompasses both an elevated level terrace overlooking Pensacola Bay as well as portions of the slope leading down toward Bayou Texar below the terrace to the west. The site also encompassed a small natural depression and freshwater pond just west of the terrace summit, as well as portions of its original drainage extending westward into the bayou, both of which appear on early maps but have subsequently been filled. The primary residential portion of the settlement appears to coincide with the upper terrace, including at minimum some 8.9 hectares, or 22 acres, while the lighter artifact distribution extending downslope along the bay bluff and pond drainage seems likely to be associated with secondary activities. This includes an inferred boat landing site where the bay bluff is only half the height of the terrace to the east, located immediately north of a deeper channel in the bay that appears on historic maps and mid-twentieth-century aerial photos.
Despite the fact that the Luna settlement has such a broad footprint on the landscape associated with the original population of 1,500 people plus as many as perhaps 200 additional sailors after the hurricane, this initial population fluctuated and diminished over the next months and years, in part as a result of military detachments of as many as 200 soldiers sent inland ahead of the main army, as well as evacuations of the sick and others on periodic relief fleets. Moreover, between the end of February and early August of 1560, the majority of the expedition relocated far inland to the native town of Nanipacana along the modern Alabama River, leaving just 100 soldiers and slaves at the settlement for the intervening four months. Even after the main expedition’s return to the settlement in August, by a month later there were just 362 people living there following numerous departures. And while a 200-man detachment returned from living in the deep interior native province of Coosa in November, by April of 1561 there were just 160 people left. Just 50-60 men remained to garrison the settlement for the next four months before its abandonment.

All this is important because it suggests that the full spatial extent of the Luna Settlement site may only have been occupied for the six months before the main army moved inland to Nanipacana, while other portions may have experienced continuous habitation, especially during the 8 months that the site housed 100 people or less. This is precisely what the archaeological evidence indicates. Analysis of the spatial distribution of multiple different types and categories of artifacts on the Luna Settlement reveals that there are indeed several areas of the site where the Luna assemblage is densest, suggesting more intensive and lengthy habitation. Not surprisingly, the area with the highest artifact density is near the center of the broader site, specifically on the southwestern margin of the upper terrace. Not only is this location
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7 Population figures drawn from assorted documents in Priestley (2010), and from Dávila Padilla (1625).
8 Worth 2019b.
immediately adjacent to the filled freshwater pond just downslope, it is also the section of the upper terrace that is closest to the inferred landing area to the southwest. As the population of the Luna Settlement fluctuated and dwindled, this central part of the site seems to have been the most optimal occupational area for continuous habitation, probably right up until evacuation.

A secondary area with a comparatively dense artifact assemblage is located on the far northeastern corner of the site, extending nearly up to the bluff edge. This location could have offered the twin benefits of being well-situated as a lookout over the bay to the north and east of the settlement, and as a northern gateway to the road leading northward and inland. While the density of artifacts is considerably less than that of the site’s core, it clearly evidenced relative intensive and/or lengthy habitation.

Finally, the interpreted boat landing along the lower bluff slope seems to represent a third area of slightly higher artifact density, as might be expected. While the localized presence of an eighteenth-century British and Second Spanish-era ranch headquarters in this location may also contribute to the greater density of both wrought iron and lead shot, the concurrent presence of a minor concentration of Luna-era Spanish and Aztec pottery suggests this should probably also be classified as a third area of somewhat more intense Spanish activity.

Turning to the search for archaeological evidence of structures and other activity areas, it should be emphasized that although the Luna Settlement was originally intended to be laid out as a permanent Spanish port town called Santa María de Ochuse, the principal goal of the Luna expedition was to march inland with an army comprised of some 500 infantry and cavalry soldiers and follow the Hernando de Soto expedition route back across the Appalachian summit and down to the Atlantic coast where another port town would be founded at Santa Elena on the coast of modern South Carolina. The arrival of Luna’s army was only the first step, and thus the
town they began to construct in August of 1559 was never intended to be more than a short-term encampment for the majority of the soldiers tasked with moving forward. Moreover, and perhaps even more importantly, the devastation wrought by the hurricane just five weeks after landfall left the entire expedition in dire straits, making it wholly possible that further construction on the town was largely curtailed, with the remaining two years of occupation at the settlement more like an extended military encampment than a formal Spanish pueblo.

The archaeological signature of these two alternatives would be expected to be quite different from one another, and if the Luna Settlement was actually a combination of a planned town and an extended military encampment, either in different parts of the site at the same time or in the same locations over the course of two years, then discerning traces of housing and activity areas at the site is likely to be challenging. As was customary in the Spanish New World during this era, Viceroy Luis de Velasco had plans drawn up for a town grid with criss-crossing streets and 100 house lots for an intended 100 settler families, and 40 lots set aside for a plaza and administrative district including a royal warehouse and a church. 9 Contemporary illustrations of wooden structures of this sort suggest that their archaeological signature would be rectangular patterns of postholes along with corresponding nails and other fasteners, and archaeological excavations at sixteenth-century St. Augustine and Santa Elena have generally confirmed this expectation. 10

On the other hand, if most of the 500-man army and attached support personnel instead formed an army encampment using tents or other temporary lodgings, arranged on the landscape by 50-man companies with their subordinate 5-8-man residential and dining units called
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9 Velasco 1559.
10 e.g. Deagan 1985, 2009, 2016; South 1980, 1982; South and DePratter 1996.
camaradas,\textsuperscript{11} then the archaeological pattern might be very different, probably lacking easily-recognizable posthole patterns and other structural remains, and perhaps distributed on the landscape without a discernable grid of lots and streets.

In point of fact, the Luna Settlement may well reflect both these patterns. Documents confirm that at least some structures were indeed built, including a royal warehouse, a church, and individual residences at least for Luna and other high-ranking expedition officials, all of which were still in use long after the 1559 hurricane. But we have no documentary evidence regarding how or where the bulk of the army lived while on site, and though it is almost certain that officers and married soldiers with families were likely housed separately from the rest of the unmarried soldiers’ messes, at present we cannot say whether they built formal houses or lived in tents or improvised lean-tos. We also have no documentary clues to how and where the roughly 200 Aztec warriors and craftsmen may have been distributed and housed.

Archaeological evidence for wooden structures is definitely present at the Luna settlement, but the necessarily slow, methodical pace of excavations have only just begun to reveal possible patterns in postholes found to date. At present, some 600 square meters have been subjected to subsurface archaeological investigation, but more than half of this area is comprised of shovel tests or by limited mitigation work at utility trenches or construction sites on private land. Moreover, in the core area of the site we have adopted a strategy of point-plotting nearly every artifact found, which yields amazingly detailed spatial distribution information, but which is also painstakingly slow. This is compounded by the presence of common disturbances from twentieth-century residential activities including utility pipelines, fence posts, and landscape plantings. Ultimately, of the formal excavation units opened, only 132 square meters

\textsuperscript{11} See Worth 2019a.
have been fully excavated to subsoil, with another 132 square meters in larger blocks that are still only partially complete. Nevertheless, apart from an incredibly abundant and diverse sixteenth-century artifact assemblage, we have identified a number of postholes and other features directly associated with the Luna Settlement.

In the core area of the site, one relatively large trash-filled pit contained a rich array of Luna-era Spanish and Native artifacts, including abundant ceramics and wrought iron barrel hoop fragments, nails, and spikes, brass aglets and straight pins, as well as a link of mail armor, a complete bale of brass wire, a brass belt hook, and an 8-pointed sheet silver star that may have belonged to a penitential flail called a *disciplina*. A sherd from a portion of a broken Native bowl in the pit produced an optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) date of AD 1591 ± 49.12 Nearby, we have spent two summers expanding excavations around a deep posthole containing a charred post, a wrought iron nail, and a number of large Spanish olive jar sherds wedged around the post, which itself produced a calibrated radiocarbon date with intercepts at AD 1447-1528 and AD 1553-1634.13 In adjacent units we now have as many as six additional postholes, with at least one clearly linear arrangement, and the possibility of two parallel walls some 3.5 meters apart from one another. This potential structure was apparently built on top of the densest scatter of broken Spanish olive jar sherds we have on the entire site. And in the northeastern artifact concentration of the site, a series of unusual parallel shallow linear trench features were uncovered near the bluff overlooking the bay, as were other features including postholes and the base of a possible firepit. An OSL date of AD 1559 ± 49 was obtained from a sherd of Spanish lead glazed coarse earthenware recovered in one of these features.14 Additionally, near the

---

13 Schleidt 2019.
14 Perry 2018.
inferred boat landing a long, linear wall trench paralleling the bluff was also found, though associated artifacts suggest it may instead be related to the eighteenth-century ranch noted above.

While the question of what kind of settlement the site was—a gridded town in development, or an extended army encampment—is still unresolved, we now have many concrete clues to guide us. And what is becoming abundantly clear after four years of UWF excavations is that with continued careful, methodical archaeological investigation, the Luna Settlement holds the promise of providing amazingly detailed insights into the emerging colonial culture of mid-sixteenth-century New Spain, and how hundreds of soldiers and other settlers established and maintained a presence on Pensacola Bay for two full years despite substantial hardship. As the first multi-year European settlement in the entire continental United States, the Luna Settlement will serve as an important laboratory for amazing research for decades to come.
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